

# CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM

## REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: <input type="checkbox"/> Policy/Regulation Interpretation <input type="checkbox"/> QC <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fair Hearing <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	5. DATE OF REQUEST: 2/20/2013	NEED RESPONSE BY: 3/4/2013
2. REQUESTOR NAME:	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Shasta County	
3. PHONE NO.:	7. SUBJECT: Residency	
4. REGULATION CITE(S): 63-401.3, 63-402.12, 63-509(c)(2), CFR 273.1. 273.3	8. REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) <b>NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).</b>  ACIN I-41-10	

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

When a customer reports he/she will be working out of state for more than 30 days, is that individual always discontinued?

Scenario #1: Customer calls on 1/22/13 to report that he will be leaving for another state to work for a few months. This customer is the head of household. His wife and children will remain in California. The customer states he intends to keep his California residency and will be returning to live with his family when the employment ends. He requests a discontinuance of his CalWORKs 2/28/13 at the end of the quarter so he can be granted Transitional CalFresh (TCF). Do we discontinue the case mid-quarter as the head of household moved out of state? Or do we discontinue at the end of the quarter and grant TCF for all household members?

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

The case should be discontinued and the household is not eligible for Transitional CalFresh (TCF).

A household (HH) is defined as individual who customarily purchase and prepare meals together. While the father's income will be used by the rest of the family, the head of household will be living in another state, so after 30 days he cannot be said to be "customarily purchasing and preparing meals" with his family. (63-402.12)

Since the head of household moved out of state and this is a mandatory mid-quarter action, the county is required to take action mid-quarter to discontinue the case 1/31/13 as timely notice is not required. (63-509(c)(2))

TCF cannot be issued because the household moved out of state. (63-504.131)

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):

The household has not moved out-of-state, only one member has actually left the state. Therefore, Transitional CalFresh should be approved for the household.

### FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED:

DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: